- 1		•
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439 dbergeson@be-law.com MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373 mmorton@be-law.com MICHAEL W. STEBBINS, Bar No. 138326 mstebbins@be-law.com BERGESON, LLP 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500 San Jose, CA 95110-2712 Telephone: (408) 291-6200 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000 Attorneys for Plaintiff	*E-FILED - 9/24/08*
8	VERIGY US, INC.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
12	VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation,	Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
14	vs.	FOR <i>FURTHER</i> LIMITED MODIFICATION OF ORDER RE: MAY 23
15 16 17	ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual; WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation; and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Corporation, inclusive,	2008 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE HEARING Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007
18 19	Defendants.	
20 21	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
22	STIPUL	<u>ATION</u>
23	1. Pursuant to agreement, Plaintiff Verigy US, Inc. ("Verigy"), and Defendants Romi	
24	Omar Mayder, Wesley Mayder, Silicon Test Systems, Inc., and Silicon Test Solutions, LLC	
25	(collectively "Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, do hereby stipulate	
26	and agree, and hereby jointly request, that the Cou	rt (a) allow the parties to take the depositions of
2728	(i) Honeywell International Inc. ("Honeywell") pursuant to F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6), (ii) one or more	
		C07-04330 RMW (HRL)
	STIE. AND UNDER	CU/-U433U KIVI W (HKL)

- current employees of Honeywell, and/or (iii) another ex-Honeywell employee, Mr. Grenville Hughes, (collectively, the "Honeywell-Related Depositions") at a date or dates to be agreed upon between the parties and counsel for Honeywell and Mr. Hughes in October 2008 after the August 29, 2008 Close of Fact Discovery; (b) permit the parties to supplement, by October 15, 2008, any Expert Disclosures pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26 (a)(2) made on or before September 15, 2008 based upon specific evidence obtained from the Honeywell-Related Depositions, because it is anticipated that the Honeywell-Related Depositions will occur after September 30, 2008; and (c) modify the pre-trial schedule to move the date for the close of expert discovery from October 17, 2008 to October 31, 2008.
- 2. The parties have each subpoenaed Honeywell for deposition and the production of documents under F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6). The parties and counsel for Honeywell, Anthony Marks, Esq. of Perkins Coie Brown & Bain, originally agreed to a deposition on August 20, 2008 in Minneapolis and to a method for gathering responsive documents. However, in the course of gathering these documents, Honeywell's counsel has advised that there would likely to be in excess of 300MB (roughly 10-20 bankers boxes) of potentially responsive documents, which will not be processed in time for use at the agreed upon deposition date.
- 3. Verigy has also subpoenaed a former Honeywell employee, Mr. Grenville Hughes, who is believed to have information relating to the issues in dispute and to reside in Wavzata, Minnesota, near Minneapolis. The parties' understanding is that Mr. Hughes, who is likely also to be represented by Mr. Marks at his deposition, is unavailable for a late August 2008 deposition due to pre-arranged travel plans out of the country.
- 4. Because of the document production issues, Mr. Hughes' schedule, as well as Honeywell counsel's own travel schedule, the parties were unable to conduct the Honeywell-Related Depositions prior to the August 29, 2008 fact discovery cutoff and, on August 18, 2008, submitted a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order for Limited Modification of Order Re: May 23, 2008 Case Management Conference Hearing ("Prior Order"), signed by the Court on August 21, 2008, which envisioned that the parties would conduct the Honeywell-Related Depositions on September 16-18, 2008.

C07-04330 RMW (HRL)

STIP. AND ORDER

1 2	MAYDER, SILICON TEST SYSTEMS, INC., and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC
3	
4	<u>ORDER</u>
5	In consideration of the foregoing stipulation and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS SO
6	ORDERED.
7	ORDERED.
8	
9	
10	
11	DATED: 9/24/08 Ronald M. Whyte
12	U. S. DISTRICT COURT JŪDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 5:07-cv-04330-RMW Document 344 Filed 09/24/08 Page 4 of 4